


**Oral Rounds – Top 4 Teams**

1. Mawdsley - Strathmore University Law School, Kenya
2. Sepulveda - University of Lagos, Nigeria
3. Duinslaeger - University of South Africa, College of Law (Florida), South Africa
4. Zhengyu - Cairo University, faculty of law - French section, Egypt

**5<sup>th</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> placed Teams**

5. Jimenez – Kenya School of Law and Wellington – Africa Nazarene University – Kenya
7. Bhandari – Tanta University – Egypt and Cot – University of South Africa (Pretoria) – South Africa

**Individual Match Results, Preliminary Rounds– sorted by Claimant Team**

C_Alias	C_mem <sup>1</sup>	C_Oralsum	C_Total	R_Alias	R_mem <sup>1</sup>	R_Oralsum	R_Total
Bhandari	130	443.00	475.5000	Cot	140	540.00	575.0000
Bhandari	130	467.00	499.5000	Greenwood	120	461.00	491.0000
Buergenthal	157	469.00	508.2500	Jimenez	140	499.00	534.0000
Buergenthal	157	487.00	526.2500	Mawdsley	160	529.00	569.0000
Cot	140	480.00	515.0000	Zhengyu	160	553.00	593.0000
Cot	140	410.00	445.0000	Greenwood	120	420.00	450.0000
Duinslaeger	140	440.00	475.0000	Bhandari	160	454.00	494.0000
Duinslaeger	140	468.00	503.0000	Cot	140	440.00	475.0000
Greenwood	150	478.00	515.5000	Duinslaeger	140	521.00	556.0000
Greenwood	150	422.00	459.5000	Zhengyu	160	542.00	582.0000
Jimenez	165	538.00	579.2500	Skubiszewski	130	427.00	459.5000
Jimenez	165	466.00	507.2500	Mawdsley	160	514.00	554.0000
Mawdsley	180	526.00	571.0000	Wellington	150	468.00	505.5000
Mawdsley	180	508.00	553.0000	Skubiszewski	130	458.00	490.5000
Sepulveda	161	529.00	569.2500	Buergenthal	150	495.00	532.5000
Sepulveda	161	529.00	569.2500	Jimenez	140	531.00	566.0000
Skubiszewski	130	427.00	459.5000	Wellington	150	543.00	580.5000
Skubiszewski	130	419.00	451.5000	Sepulveda	150	477.00	514.5000
Wellington	150	485.00	522.5000	Buergenthal	150	449.00	486.5000
Wellington	150	524.00	561.5000	Sepulveda	150	490.00	527.5000
Zhengyu	130	484.00	516.5000	Bhandari	160	455.00	495.0000
Zhengyu	130	504.00	536.5000	Duinslaeger	140	487.00	522.0000

**Victors by Group**

Group	Team	Wins	SB	Votes	z-points
1	Mawdsley	4	5	12	1829.56
1	Wellington	3	3	8	1772.56
1	Sepulveda	3	2	8	1777.56
1	Jimenez	2		8	1786.56
2	Zhengyu	4	6	12	1835.56
2	Bhandari	2	3	3	1571.56
2	Duinslaeger	2	2	7	1668.56
2	Cot	1	2	5	1622.56
2	Greenwood	1	1	3	1533.56

<sup>1</sup> Claimant/Respondent Skeleton Brief Scores were weighted (Cmem or Rmem \* 0.25) into each match to determine the points.

In each of the two groups, the top 2 (4) teams advance. Within each group, teams are ranked according to:

**Wins** are matches won on the basis of the total raw scores of the arbitrators added to each team's weighted (25%) claimant or respondent memorial score. This criterion allows weight to be given to a team's memorials and counter-acts teams that may rely on the work other teams may have put into memorials, which then become available to opposing teams (caveat: total raw scores can be distorted by individual high- or low scoring arbitrators).

**SB** is the total number of wins of those teams against which a team has won. This criterion takes account of the strength of the opposition that a team has faced. [NeustadtI Sonneborn-Berger](#)

**Votes** is the total number of arbitrators who in each match have given a team's two advocates a higher aggregate point total. This criterion flattens out the phenomenon of high- or low scorers and takes account that matches may be won 3:0, 2:1 or even rarely 1:2 ( if the "1" is an unusually high-scorer and/or the winning team had a significantly higher memorial score).

**\*z-Points** are the total normalised points a team has received in its four preliminary round matches. It is rare that over four matches two teams would have exactly the same number of total points, so this can provide a tie-break where other methods fail. Normalising using the "z-score" formula (using standard deviations) seeks to mitigate inequities caused by high- or low scorers (caveat: the quality of statistical methods depends on many variables).

Teams that won no matches are not shown above.

### Top 20 Advocates of the Preliminary Rounds

Advocate	University	AvgwtoMinMax
1. Joumana Nassar	Zhengyu; Cairo University, faculty of law - French section	93.75
2. David Omondi	Mawdsley; Strathmore University Law School	89.00
Farida Abouarab	Zhengyu; Cairo University, faculty of law - French section	89.00
4. Olufolajimi Otitoola	Sepulveda; University of Lagos	88.75
5. Shalom Ajibade	Sepulveda; University of Lagos	88.00
6. Latoya Masango	Duinslaeger; University of South Africa, College of Law	87.25
7. Kadima Cedric	Jimenez; Kenya School of Law	85.50
8. Robert Kaniu	Wellington; Africa Nazarene University	85.25
9. Mdathir Timamy	Mawdsley; Strathmore University Law School	84.50
10. Olukoye Michael	Jimenez; Kenya School of Law	84.30
11. Ibrahim Katana	Wellington; Africa Nazarene University	84.25
Martin Kariuki	Wellington; Africa Nazarene University	84.25
13. Reginalda Wanjiku	Wellington; Africa Nazarene University	84.00
14. Yvonne Nyangi	Mawdsley; Strathmore University Law School	83.00
15. Fawaz Haroun	Sepulveda; University of Lagos	82.75
Hana Elbarbary	Zhengyu; Cairo University, faculty of law - French section	82.75
17. Njabulo Qwabe	Duinslaeger; University of South Africa, College of Law	82.00
18. Uchechukwu Ekwunife	Buergethal; University of Calabar, Faculty of Law	80.90
19. Malak Yasser	Zhengyu; Cairo University, faculty of law - French section	80.75
20. Damilola Orekoya	Sepulveda; University of Lagos	79.25

Advocates who have argued at least two rounds ranked by the average of their scores excluding the two outliers (min/max).

### Best Advocate of the Final Match

**Mdathir Timamy**

**Mawdsley - Strathmore University Law School, Kenya**

The Best Advocate of the Final Match is determined by the arbitrator panel of the Final Match.