


**Oral Rounds – Top 4 Teams**

1. **Castaneda – National Law School of India University**
2. Weeramantry - Rajiv Gandhi National University of Law
3. Kooijmans – ALSD, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University
4. Gevorgian – O.P. Jindal Global University

**Quarter Finalists**

5. Dillard - National University of Advanced Legal Studies  
Onyeama - The West Bengal National University of Juridical Sciences, Kolkata
7. Aghahosseini - Government Law College, Mumbai  
Fernandes - National Law University Jodhpur

**Highest Ranked Teams**

Alias	University Name	Claimant	Respondent	Oral	Total
1. <b>Weeramantry</b>	<b>Rajiv Gandhi National University of Law</b>	187	178	2131.20	2496.20
2. Gevorgian	O.P. Jindal Global University	180	200	2086.80	2466.80
3. Onyeama	The West Bengal National University of Juridical Sciences, Kolkata	180	178	2103.60	2461.60
4. Kooijmans	ALSD, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University	180	160	2060.40	2400.40
5. Forster	National Law University Delhi	170	183	2037.60	2390.60
6. Aghahosseini	Government Law College, Mumbai	170	160	2042.40	2372.40
7. Castaneda	National Law School of India University	150	150	2047.50	2347.50
8. Guillaume	Symbiosis Law School	130	140	2055.60	2325.60
9. Dimitrijevic	National Law Institute University (NLIU)	160	174	1989.60	2323.60
10. Fernandes	National Law University Jodhpur	160	160	1996.80	2316.80
11. Zafrulla	Gujarat National Law University	160	190	1959.60	2309.60
12. Bedjaoui	Lloyd Law College	150	140	1988.40	2278.40
13. Spiropoulos	Maharashtra National Law University, Nagpur	180	170	1900.80	2250.80
14. Vanwyk	OP Jindal Global University & Panjab University	160	170	1880.40	2210.40
15. Dillard	National University of Advanced Legal Studies	191	170	1843.20	2204.20
16. Virally	Hidayatullah National Law University	170	183	1833.60	2186.60
17. Erskine	Christ [Deemed to be University], School of Law	150	160	1840.80	2150.80
18. Hackworth	Bangladesh University of Professionals	140	130	1852.50	2122.50

**Individual Match Results, Preliminary Rounds– sorted by Claimant Team**

<b>C_Alias</b>	<b>C_mem<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>C_Oralsum</b>	<b>C_Total</b>	<b>R_Alias</b>	<b>R_mem<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>R_Oralsum</b>	<b>R_Total</b>
Aghahosseini	170	533.00	575.50	Erskine	160	484.00	524.00
Aghahosseini	170	513.00	555.50	Virally	183	436.00	481.75
Bedjaoui	150	478.00	515.50	Gevorgian	200	537.00	587.00
Bedjaoui	150	508.00	545.50	Kooijmans	160	546.00	586.00
Castaneda	150	523.00	560.50	Dimitrijevic	174	501.00	544.50
Castaneda	150	544.00	581.50	Weeramantry	178	560.00	604.50
Dillard	191	476.00	523.75	Aghahosseini	160	513.00	553.00
Dillard	191	443.00	490.75	Virally	183	443.00	488.75
Dimitrijevic	160	501.00	541.00	Spiropoulos	170	489.00	531.50
Dimitrijevic	160	471.00	511.00	Hackworth	130	466.00	498.50
Erskine	150	456.00	493.50	Aghahosseini	160	483.00	523.00
Erskine	150	415.00	452.50	Dillard	170	444.00	486.50
Fernandes	160	462.00	502.00	Vanwyk	170	464.00	506.50
Fernandes	160	519.00	559.00	Onyeama	178	565.00	609.50
Forster	170	525.00	567.50	Fernandes	160	528.00	568.00
Forster	170	528.00	570.50	Onyeama	178	533.00	577.50
Gevorgian	180	504.00	549.00	Zafrulla	190	473.00	520.50
Gevorgian	180	534.00	579.00	Kooijmans	160	505.00	545.00
Guillaume	130	524.00	556.50	Gevorgian	200	517.00	567.00
Guillaume	130	523.00	555.50	Bedjaoui	140	490.00	525.00
Hackworth	140	479.00	514.00	Weeramantry	178	527.00	571.50
Hackworth	140	441.00	476.00	Castaneda	150	522.00	559.50
Kooijmans	180	507.00	552.00	Zafrulla	190	498.00	545.50
Kooijmans	180	502.00	547.00	Guillaume	140	500.00	535.00
Onyeama	180	500.00	545.00	Vanwyk	170	474.00	516.50
Onyeama	180	504.00	549.00	Forster	183	484.00	529.75
Spiropoulos	180	498.00	543.00	Hackworth	130	464.00	496.50
Spiropoulos	180	397.00	442.00	Castaneda	150	467.00	504.50
Vanwyk	160	427.00	467.00	Fernandes	160	491.00	531.00
Vanwyk	160	513.00	553.00	Forster	183	501.00	546.75
Virally	170	470.00	512.50	Erskine	160	479.00	519.00
Virally	170	491.00	533.50	Dillard	170	484.00	526.50
Weeramantry	187	545.00	591.7500	Dimitrijevic	174	517.00	560.50
Weeramantry	187	494.00	540.75	Spiropoulos	170	502.00	544.50
Zafrulla	160	491.00	531.00	Bedjaoui	140	506.00	541.00
Zafrulla	160	497.00	537.00	Guillaume	140	499.00	534.00

<sup>1</sup> Claimant/Respondent Skeleton Brief Scores were weighted (Cmem or Rmem \* 0.25) into each match to determine the points.

### Victors by Group

Group	Team	Wins	SB	Votes	z-points
1	Gevorgian	4	6	9	1804.24
1	Kooijmans	3	3	7	1772.24
1	Guillaume	1	1	9	1758.24
1	Bedjaoui	1	1	3	1694.24
1	Zafrulla	1	1	2	1671.24
2	Weeramantry	3	5	11	1838.24
2	Castaneda	3	4	9	1768.24
2	Spiropoulos	2	3	4	1598.24
2	Dimitrijevic	2	2	5	1702.24
3	Aghahosseini	4	5	12	1754.24
3	Dillard	2	2	4	1559.24
3	Virally	1	2	6	1552.24
3	Erskine	1	1	2	1546.24
4	Onyeama	4	4	11	1814.24
4	Fernandes	2	2	5	1712.24
4	Vanwyk	2	2	5	1590.24

In each of the two groups, the top 2 (4) teams advance. Within each group, teams are ranked according to:

**Wins** are matches won on the basis of the total raw scores of the arbitrators added to each team's weighted (25%) claimant or respondent memorial score. This criterion allows weight to be given to a team's memorials and counter-acts teams that may rely on the work other teams may have put into memorials, which then become available to opposing teams (caveat: total raw scores can be distorted by individual high- or low scoring arbitrators).

**SB** is the total number of wins of those teams against which a team has won. This criterion takes account of the strength of the opposition that a team has faced. [Neustadt| Sonneborn-Berger](#)

**Votes** is the total number of arbitrators who in each match have given a team's two advocates a higher aggregate point total. This criterion flattens out the phenomenon of high- or low scorers and takes account that matches may be won 3:0, 2:1 or even rarely 1:2 ( if the "1" is an unusually high-scorer and/or the winning team had a significantly higher memorial score).

**\*z-Points** are the total normalised points a team has received in its four preliminary round matches. It is rare that over four matches two teams would have exactly the same number of total points, so this can provide a tie-break where other methods fail. Normalising using the "z-score" formula (using standard deviations) seeks to mitigate inequities caused by high- or low scorers (caveat: the quality of statistical methods depends on many variables).

Teams that won no matches are not shown above.

## Best Advocate of the Final Match

**Vidisha Singh:**

**National Law School of India University**

The Best Advocate of the Final Match is determined by the arbitrator panel of the Final Match.

### Top 25 Advocates

Advocate	University	AvgwtoMinMax
1. <b>Vrishank Singhania</b>	<b>National Law School of India University</b>	90.25
2. Kushagra Gupta	Rajiv Gandhi National University of Law	89.70
3. Aakanksha Jadhav	The West Bengal National University of Juridical Sciences, Kolkata	88.60
4. Vivek Sharma	ALSD, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University	88.30
5. Mohit Dang	Rajiv Gandhi National University of Law	87.90
Shuvam Agarwal	O.P. Jindal Global University	87.60
7. Tansa Shah	National Law School of India University	86.75
8. Abhay Bhushan Bhandari	The West Bengal National University of Juridical Sciences, Kolkata	86.70
9. Satyarth Shivang	Lloyd Law College	86.60
10. Rishabh Arora	O.P. Jindal Global University	86.30
11. Raunak Chatha	National Law University Delhi	86.20
12. Aiyushi Sahani	Symbiosis Law School	86.00
13. Yash Shiralkar	Government Law College, Mumbai	85.70
Siddharth Pareek	National Law University Jodhpur	85.40
15. Rhythm Katyal	Symbiosis Law School	85.30
16. Tushar Nagar	Government Law College, Mumbai	84.50
17. Manthan Nagpal	Gujarat National Law University	83.80
18. Aayushi Roy	National Law University Delhi	83.60
19. Nehreen Mehra	ALSD, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University	83.40
20. Kaustubh Chaturvedi	National Law School of India University	83.00
Ishaan Madaan	National Law Institute University (NLIU)	83.00
22. Shruti Mishra	National Law Institute University (NLIU)	82.80
23. Vidisha Singh	National Law School of India University	81.25
24. Piyush Sharma	National Law University Jodhpur	81.00
25. Amena Jahan Urmy	Bangladesh University of Professionals	80.50

Advocates who have argued at least two rounds ranked by the average of their scores excluding the two outliers (min/max).

